New Estate Standards Set Expectations for FE Colleges

15 July 2026

Further education colleges across England are being encouraged to take a more strategic, data-led approach to managing their buildings and facilities under new estate management standards published by the Department for Education.

The Further Education Estate Management Standards provide a new framework for colleges to assess how effectively they plan, maintain and develop their estates. The guidance applies to further education colleges, land-based colleges and designated institutions in England and is aimed at everyone from principals and governing bodies to estates directors, facilities managers, finance teams and curriculum leaders.

At the heart of the standards is a simple principle: college buildings should not be viewed purely as physical assets to maintain. The estate must actively support education, skills delivery, accessibility, financial resilience and the changing needs of learners.

The framework also arrives alongside significant government investment. The Department for Education says £1.7 billion is being provided to colleges up to 2029 to 2030 to improve and maintain their estates, including an annual condition allocation intended to give colleges greater certainty when planning maintenance and investment.

A Four-Level Approach to Estate Management

Rather than presenting colleges with a single compliance checklist, the new framework introduces four maturity levels: Baseline, Transitioning, Fully Effective and Advanced.

All colleges are expected to aim for Level 1 as a starting point and work towards Level 3. Level 4 is designed for colleges seeking to become advanced practitioners and sector leaders in estate management.

At Baseline level, the focus is firmly on the fundamentals. Colleges should have an estates strategy and an up-to-date asset register, understand the condition and use of their buildings and maintain appropriate statutory compliance arrangements.

The guidance recommends that estates strategies set out planned investment and maintenance priorities over a three-to-five-year period. Colleges should also have reliable information covering building condition, space utilisation, accessibility, floor areas and running costs, including energy use and emissions.

This creates a much clearer expectation that estates decisions should be supported by evidence rather than driven solely by immediate problems or emergency repairs.

Moving From Reactive Repairs to Planned Maintenance

One of the strongest themes running through the new standards is the move towards preventative maintenance.

At Transitioning level, colleges are expected to increasingly balance reactive repairs with structured, planned maintenance. Condition data should be actively used to prioritise work, with documented maintenance plans helping to prevent the deterioration or failure of buildings, equipment and infrastructure.

Routine and cyclical tasks, including servicing plant and equipment and maintaining rainwater systems, form part of this more proactive approach.

For estates and facilities teams, this could mean greater scrutiny of how maintenance programmes are planned, recorded and linked to wider capital investment decisions.

The standards also place responsibility firmly at leadership and governing body level. Governors and senior leaders are expected to understand estate risks, monitor condition and compliance, and ensure investment decisions are transparent and evidence-based.

As colleges progress through the framework, Asset Management Plans become increasingly important, connecting estate condition, organisational priorities, risk appetite and capital planning.

Data Becomes Central to Estate Decisions

At Fully Effective level, estate management becomes significantly more strategic.

Colleges are expected to use accurate, current information to support decision-making and long-term investment. Digital systems, including Building Information Modelling where available, may form part of this approach.

Condition surveys should help colleges identify structural risks and known issues associated with older buildings, including asbestos and Reinforced Autoclaved Aerated Concrete, commonly known as RAAC.

Maintenance priorities should then be determined by risk, condition and organisational need, with colleges developing a clearer understanding of future requirements and maintenance backlogs.

The message is clear: estate data should not simply sit in a spreadsheet or compliance file. It should actively influence budgets, refurbishment priorities and investment decisions.

Sustainability, Net Zero and Digital Infrastructure

Sustainability is also embedded within the standards.

Fully Effective colleges are encouraged to develop a long-term, phased approach to creating a more sustainable estate, with climate mitigation and adaptation considered as part of planning and investment decisions.

The guidance encourages colleges to establish clear responsibility for sustainability and work towards net zero carbon in operation, including developing a roadmap towards net zero by 2050.

Digital infrastructure is similarly recognised as an estate issue. Technology requirements should be considered alongside curriculum needs and wider estate planning, reflecting the increasing dependence of teaching, building systems and college operations on resilient digital infrastructure.

A New Benchmark for England’s College Estate

For suppliers, consultants and contractors working with further education, the standards could also influence future conversations around condition surveys, compliance, maintenance, energy, sustainability, digital systems and capital projects.

At the most advanced level, colleges are encouraged to become outward-facing leaders, using advanced data, strong governance and future-focused planning to drive innovation and help shape wider sector practice.

The standards are not intended as a rigid checklist, and colleges do not need to meet every indicator to demonstrate progress at a particular level.

However, they do establish a much clearer direction of travel.

For England’s further education sector, effective estate management is increasingly about more than keeping buildings operational. It is about understanding assets, identifying risks earlier, using data more effectively and ensuring college environments remain safe, inclusive, sustainable and capable of supporting the skills and curriculum needs of the future.